Unit root tests *

Jean-Marie Dufour †
Université de Montréal

First version: November 1998
Revised: March 2008
This version: March 14, 2008
Compiled: March 14, 2008, 11:26am

* This work was supported by the William Dow Chair in Political Economy (McGill University), the Canada Research Chair Program (Chair in Econometrics, Université de Montréal), the Bank of Canada (Research Fellowship), a Guggenheim Fellowship, a Konrad-Adenauer Fellowship (Alexander-von-Humboldt Foundation, Germany), the Institut de finance mathématique de Montréal (IFM2), the Canadian Network of Centres of Excellence [program on *Mathematics of Information Technology and Complex Systems* (MITACS)], the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, the Fonds de recherche sur la société et la culture (Québec), and the Fonds de recherche sur la nature et les technologies (Québec), and a Killam Fellowship (Canada Council for the Arts).

† William Dow Professor of Economics, McGill University, Centre interuniversitaire de recherche en analyse des organisations (CIRANO), and Centre interuniversitaire de recherche en économie quantitative (CIREQ). Mailing address: Department of Economics, McGill University, Leacock Building, Room 519, 855 Sherbrooke Street West, Montréal, Québec H3A 2T7, Canada. TEL: (1) 514 398 8879; FAX: (1) 514 398 4938; e-mail: jean-marie.dufour@mcgill.ca . Web page: http://www.jeanmariedufour.com

Contents

List of Definitions, Propositions and Theorems **i**

1. Unit root tests in AR(1) models **1**
1.1. Random walk without drift 1
1.2. Random walk with drift 2
1.3. AR(1) model with trend 2

2. Unit root tests in AR(p) models **3**
2.1. AR(p) model without drift 3
2.2. AR(p) model with drift 5
2.3. AR(p) model with trend 6

3. Bibliographic notes **7**

List of Definitions, Propositions and Theorems

1. Unit root tests in AR(1) models

1.1. Random walk without drift

Consider the model:

$$Y_t = \varphi\, Y_{t-1} + u_t,\ t = 1, 2, \ldots, T, \tag{1.1}$$

$$Y_0 = 0, \tag{1.2}$$

$$(u_t : t = 1, \ldots, T) \sim IID\left(0, \sigma^2\right) \tag{1.3}$$

We wish to test the hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \varphi = 1 \tag{1.4}$$

Let $\hat{\varphi}$ be the estimator of φ obtained by ordinary least squares (OLS) from equation (1.1). In contrast with what happens when $|\varphi| < 1$, the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\varphi}$ is not normal. More precisely, we can show that

$$\underset{T \longrightarrow \infty}{p\lim} \sqrt{T}\left(\hat{\varphi} - 1\right) = 0.$$

However, $T\left(\hat{\varphi} - 1\right)$ has an asymptotic distribution which does not depend on σ^2. Similarly, the t statistic associated with H_0,

$$t_{\varphi-1} = \left(\hat{\varphi} - 1\right) / \left[s^2 \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} Y_{t-1}^2 \right)^{-1} \right]^{1/2}.$$

has an asymptotic distribution which does not depend on σ^2. However, the asymptotic distributions of $T\left(\hat{\varphi} - 1\right)$ and $t_{\varphi-1}$ are not normal.

Usually the null hypothesis $H_0 : \varphi = 1$ is tested against an alternative of stationarity ($\varphi < 1$), so that it is natural to consider one-sided critical regions of the form:

$$T(\hat{\varphi} - 1) < c_1(\alpha) \tag{1.5}$$

or

$$t_{\varphi-1} < c_2(\alpha) \tag{1.6}$$

where α is the level of the test.

For the case where the u_t's are normal, the exact critical points for $T\left(\hat{\varphi} - 1\right)$ and $t_1\left(\varphi = 1\right)$ are given by Fuller (1976, pp. 373 and 375): Table 8.5.1 ($\hat{\rho}$) for $T\left(\hat{\varphi} - 1\right)$ and Table 8.5.2 ($\hat{\tau}$) for $t_{\varphi-1}$. These critical points are also asymptotically valid (with $T = \infty$) in the case where the u_t's are not normal.

If $Y_0 \neq 0$, the same tests can also be applied after replacing Y_t by $Y_t - Y_0$, $i.e.$ we consider the regression;

$$Y_t - Y_0 = \varphi \left(Y_{t-1} - Y_0 \right) + u_t, \ t = 1, \ldots, T. \tag{1.7}$$

1.2. Random walk with drift

Model :

$$Y_t = \mu_0 + \varphi Y_{t-1} + u_t, \ t = 1, \ldots, T, \tag{1.8}$$

$$Y_0 \text{ is fixed,} \tag{1.9}$$

$$\left(u_t : t = 1, \ldots, T \right) \sim IID \left(0, \sigma^2 \right). \tag{1.10}$$

Hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \varphi = 1. \tag{1.11}$$

Let $\hat{\varphi}$ be the OLS estimator of φ based on equation (1.8) and $t_{\varphi-1}$ the t statistic associated with H_0. For testing H_0, we can use either $T\left(\hat{\varphi} - 1\right)$ or $t_{\varphi-1}$. For the case where the u_t's are normal, exact critical points are given by Fuller (1976, pp. 371 and 373): Table 8.5.1 $\left(\hat{\rho}_\mu\right)$ for $T\left(\hat{\varphi} - 1\right)$ and Table 8.5.2 $\left(\hat{\tau}_\mu\right)$ for $t_{\varphi-1}$. These critical points are also asymptotically valid (with $T = \infty$) when the u_t's are not normal.

In (1.8), we may also wish to test the null hypothesis

$$H_{01} : \varphi = 1 \text{ and } \mu_0 = 0 \,. \tag{1.12}$$

This can be done by computing the usual $F-$statistic (say F_{01}) for H_{01}, and then rejecting when F_{01} is too large. Appropriate critical values are given in Dickey and Fuller (1981); see also Hamilton (1994, Table B.7, Case 2).

1.3. AR(1) model with trend

Model :

$$Y_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1 t + \varphi Y_{t-1} + u_t, \ t = 1, \ldots, T, \tag{1.13}$$

$$Y_0 \text{ is fixed,} \tag{1.14}$$

$$\left(u_t : t = 1, \ldots, T \right) \sim IID \left(0, \sigma^2 \right). \tag{1.15}$$

$$H_0 : \varphi = 1, \tag{1.16}$$

$$H_{01} : \varphi = 1 \text{ and } \mu_1 = 0, \tag{1.17}$$

$$H_{02} : \varphi = 1 \text{ and } \mu_0 = \mu_1 = 0. \tag{1.18}$$

Let $\hat{\varphi}$ be the OLS estimator of φ obtained from equation (1.13) and let $t_{\varphi-1}$ the t statistic associated with H_0. Again we can test H_0 with $T(\hat{\varphi} - 1)$ or $t_{\varphi-1}$. For the case where the u_t's are normal, critical points are given by Fuller (1976, pp. 371 and 373): Table 8.5.1 $(\hat{\rho}_\tau)$ and Table 8.5.2 $(\hat{\tau}_\tau)$ for $t_{\varphi-1}$. These critical points are also asymptotically valid (with $T = \infty$) when the u_t's are not normal.

For testing H_{01} and H_{02}, we can use the corresponding Fisher statistics. Tables are available from Dickey and Fuller (1981); for H_{02}, see Hamilton (1994, Table B.7, Case 4).

2. Unit root tests in AR(p) models

2.1. AR(p) model without drift

Model:

$$Y_t = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \varphi_j Y_{t-j} + u_t, \; t = p+1, \ldots, T, \tag{2.1}$$

$$(u_t : t = 1, \ldots, T) \sim IID\left(0, \sigma^2\right), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\begin{gathered} \varphi(B) \equiv 1 - \varphi_1 B - \varphi_2 B^2 - \cdots - \varphi_p B^p \\ \text{has all its roots outside the unit circle} \\ \text{except possibly one which can be equal to } 1. \end{gathered} \tag{2.3}$$

Hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \varphi(1) = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

or equivalently,

$$H_0 : \sum_{j=1}^{p} \varphi_j = 1. \tag{2.5}$$

Under H_0, we can write

$$\varphi(B) = (1 - B)\,\varphi_1(B) \tag{2.6}$$

where the polynomial $\varphi_1(B)$ has all its roots outside the unit circle. Equation (2.1) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= \rho_1 Y_{t-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{p} \rho_j \left(Y_{t-j+1} - Y_{t-j}\right) + u_t \\ &= \rho_1 Y_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \rho_{j+1} \left(Y_{t-j} - Y_{t-j-1}\right) + u_t \\ &= \rho_1 Y_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \rho_{j+1} \Delta Y_{t-j} + u_t\,,\ t = p+1, \ldots, T, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_j &= \sum_{i=1}^{p} \varphi_i\,, \quad \text{for } j = 1\,, \\ &= -\sum_{i=j}^{p} \varphi_i\,, \quad \text{for } j = 2,\ \ldots,\ p\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and $\Delta = 1 - B$. Under H_0, $\rho_1 = 1$. For testing H_0, we can use Student's t statistic $t_{\rho_1 - 1}$ associated with H_0 from the OLS estimation of equation (2.7). Another way of computing $t_{\rho_1 - 1}$ would consist in considering the equivalent equation

$$\Delta Y_t = \overline{\rho}_1 Y_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \rho_{j+1} \Delta Y_{t-j} + u_t\,,\ t = p+1, \ldots, T, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\overline{\rho}_1 = \rho_1 - 1$, from which it is easy to see that the t statistic $t_{\overline{\rho}_1}$ for testing

$$H_0' : \overline{\rho}_1 = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

in (2.9) is identical to $t_{\rho_1 - 1}$ obtained from (2.7).

From a distributional point of view, the main observation to be made here is that the asymptotic distribution of $t_{\overline{\rho}_1}$ is not affected by the presence of the additional lagged regressors in (2.9) with respect to . The relevant critical points are available in Fuller (1976, Table 8.5.2, $\hat{\tau},\ n = \infty$). These critical points are only valid asymptotically.

The equivalence between (2.1) and (2.7) can be shown as follows:

$$Y_t = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p} \varphi_j\right) Y_{t-1} + \sum_{j=2}^{p} \left(-\sum_{i=j}^{p} \varphi_i\right) \left(Y_{t-j+1} - Y_{t-j}\right) + u_t$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\varphi_j\right)Y_{t-1} - \sum_{j=2}^{p}\sum_{i\geq j}\varphi_i\left(Y_{t-j+1}-Y_{t-j}\right)+u_t \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\varphi_j\right)Y_{t-1} - \sum_{i=2}^{p}\sum_{j=2}^{i}\varphi_i\left(Y_{t-j+1}-Y_{t-j}\right)+u_t \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\varphi_j\right)Y_{t-1} - \sum_{i=2}^{p}\varphi_i\sum_{j=2}^{i}\left(Y_{t-j+1}-Y_{t-j}\right)+u_t \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\varphi_j\right)Y_{t-1} - \sum_{i=2}^{p}\varphi_i\left(Y_{t-1}-Y_{t-i}\right)+u_t \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\varphi_j\right)Y_{t-1} - \sum_{j=2}^{p}\varphi_j\left(Y_{t-1}-Y_{t-j}\right)+u_t \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p}\varphi_j\right)Y_{t-1} - \left(\sum_{j=2}^{p}\varphi_j\right)Y_{t-1}+\sum_{j=2}^{p}\varphi_j Y_{t-j}+u_t \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{p}\varphi_j Y_{t-j}+u_t. \qquad (2.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

2.2. AR(p) model with drift

Model:

$$
Y_t = \mu_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p}\varphi_j Y_{t-j} + u_t\ ,\ t = p+1,\ldots,T, \qquad (2.12)
$$

with (2.2) and (2.3).
Hypothesis:

$$
H_0 : \sum_{j=1}^{p}\varphi_j = 1. \qquad (2.13)
$$

As for (2.1), model (2.12) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\Delta Y_t = \mu_0 + \overline{\rho}_1 Y_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1}\rho_{j+1}\Delta Y_{t-j} + u_t\ ,\ t = p+1,\ldots,T, \qquad (2.14)
$$

where $\overline{\rho}_1 = \rho_1 - 1$ and $\rho_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \varphi_j$. H_0 may then be tested by testing

$$H_0' : \overline{\rho}_1 = 0 \tag{2.15}$$

in (2.14) using the corresponding OLS t statistic.

If it is assumed that $\mu_0 = 0$, the appropriate tables are those for $\hat{\tau}_\mu$ in Fuller (1976, Table 8.5.2). If $\mu_0 \neq 0$, the $N(0,1)$ distribution yields asymptotically valid critical values for $\hat{\tau}_\mu$. However, when it is not known whether $\mu_0 = 0$ or $\mu_0 \neq 0$, one should use the most conservative critical value: in the case of left-tailed one sided tests, this leads to employ the critical values applicable when $\mu_0 = 0$.

Similarly, the $F-$test of

$$H_{01} : \overline{\rho}_1 = 0 \text{ and } \mu_0 = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

can be performed done by computing the usual $F-$statistic (say F_{01}) for H_{01} based on (2.12), and then rejecting when F_{01} is too large. The appropriate critical values are the same as for the AR(1) case ; see Dickey and Fuller (1981) or Hamilton (1994, Table B.7, Case 2).

2.3. AR(p) model with trend

Model:

$$Y_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1 t + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \varphi_j Y_{t-j} + u_t,\ t = p+1, \ldots, T, \tag{2.17}$$

with (2.2) and (2.3).
Hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \sum_{j=1}^{p} \varphi_j = 1. \tag{2.18}$$

As in the previous cases, equation (2.17) can be rewritten in the form

$$\Delta Y_t = \mu_0 + \mu_1 t + \overline{\rho}_1 Y_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \rho_{j+1} \Delta Y_{t-j} + u_t\,,\ t = p+1, \ldots, T. \tag{2.19}$$

H_0 may then be tested by testing $\overline{\rho}_1 = 0$ using the OLS t statistic obtained by OLS estimation of equation (2.19).

If it is assumed that $\mu_1 = 0$, the appropriate tables are those for $\hat{\tau}_\tau$ in Fuller (1976, Table 8.5.2). If $\mu_1 \neq 0$, the $N(0,1)$ distribution yields asymptotically valid critical values for $\hat{\tau}_\tau$. However, when it is not known whether $\mu_1 = 0$ or $\mu_1 \neq 0$, one should use the

most conservative critical value: in the case of left-tailed one sided tests, this leads one to employ the critical values applicable when $\mu_1 = 0$.

Similarly, for the joint hypothesis

$$H_{01} : \overline{\rho}_1 = 1 \text{ and } \mu_1 = 0\,, \tag{2.20}$$

we can use the corresponding $F-$statistic based on (2.19) and the critical values given by Dickey and Fuller (1981); for H_{02}, see Hamilton (1994, Table B.7, Case 4).

3. Bibliographic notes

For further discussion of unit root tests, the reader may consult: Said and Dickey (1985), Schmidt (1990), Dufour and King (1991), Mills (1993, Chapter 3), Enders (1995, Chapter 4), Hamilton (1994, Chapters 15, 16, 17), Fuller (1996, Chapter 10), Tanaka (1996), Dufour and King (1991), Kiviet and Dufour (1997), Dufour and Kiviet (1998), Maddala and Kim (1998).

References

Dickey, D. A. and Fuller, W. A. (1981), 'Likelihood ratio statistics for autoregressive time series with a unit root', *Econometrica* **49**, 1057–1072.

Dufour, J.-M. and King, M. L. (1991), 'Optimal invariant tests for the autocorrelation coefficient in linear regressions with stationary or nonstationary AR(1) errors', *Journal of Econometrics* **47**, 115–143.

Dufour, J.-M. and Kiviet, J. F. (1998), 'Exact inference methods for first-order autoregressive distributed lag models', *Econometrica* **66**, 79–104.

Enders, W. (1995), *Applied Econometric Time Series*, John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Fuller, W. A. (1976), *Introduction to Statistical Time Series*, John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Fuller, W. A. (1996), *Introduction to Statistical Time Series*, second edn, John Wiley & Sons, New York.

Hamilton, J. D. (1994), *Time Series Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.

Kiviet, J. F. and Dufour, J.-M. (1997), 'Exact tests in single equation autoregressive distributed lag models', *Journal of Econometrics* **80**, 325–353.

Maddala, G. S. and Kim, I.-M. (1998), *Unit Roots, Cointegration and Structural Change*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.

Mills, T. C. (1993), *The Econometric Modelling of Financial Time Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.

Said, S. E. and Dickey, D. A. (1984), 'Testing for unit roots in autoregressive moving-average models with unknown order', *Biometrika* **71**, 599–607.

Said, S. E. and Dickey, D. A. (1985), 'Hypothesis testing in ARIMA$(p, 1, q)$ models', *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **80**, 369–374.

Schmidt, P. (1990), Dickey-Fuller tests with drift, *in* T. B. Fomby and J. Rhodes, G. F., eds, 'Advances in Econometrics: A Research Annual. Volume 8: Cointegration, Spurious Regression, and Unit Roots', JAI Press, Greenwich, Connecticut, pp. 161–200.

Tanaka, K. (1996), *Time Series Analysis: Nonstationary and Noninvertible Distribution Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, New York.